## FOR VOTE BY 01/20/2009



#### IFTA FULL TRACK FINAL BALLOT PROPOSAL #1-2008

#### <u>Sponsor</u>

IFTA, Inc. Board of Trustees

#### Date Submitted

February 27, 2008

#### Proposed Effective Date

January 1, 2010

#### Manual Sections to be Amended

(January 1996 Version, Effective July 1, 1998, as revised)

IFTA Articles of Agreement, Section R2120 Clearinghouse IFTA Procedures Manual, Section P1040 Monthly Transmittals

#### Subject

Funds Netting through the IFTA, Inc. Clearinghouse

#### **History/Digest**

One of the major purposes of the IFTA Clearinghouse is to facilitate the efficient exchange of fuel tax revenue among the member jurisdictions. Currently, the Clearinghouse jurisdictions exchange transmittal information electronically. The ability to exchange transmittal data electronically has greatly increased the utility of that information, while reducing printing, mailing, and storage costs.

Reconciling funds due to and from each member jurisdiction, and providing for a single electronic funds transfer from and to each participating member jurisdiction is the next logical step for the Clearinghouse.

At the 2008 Annual Business Meeting, the member jurisdictions asked that a calendar be established that would set dates consistently, such as the 4<sup>th</sup> Monday of each month for deadlines on a funds netting calendar. Based on those comments, another calendar was drafted.

IFTA Full Track Final Ballot Proposal #1-2008 November 17, 2008 Page 1 of 4

#### Intent

2

4 5

6 7

8

17 18 19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28 29

30

The intent of this ballot is to make the changes necessary to facilitate the electronic exchange of data and funds among Clearinghouse participants and allow additional time for all member jurisdictions to make payments. As a practical matter, many jurisdictions often fail to transmit at least some funds timely. This proposal acknowledges the difficulty of processing all returns received in a month and transmitting the funds on time. The ballot establishes a transmittal and funding calendar which is approved by the Board of Trustees and specifies deadlines for forwarding data and funds.

#### Interlining Indicates Deletion; Underlining Indicates Addition

1 IFTA PROCEDURES MANUAL

#### 3 P1040 MONTHLY TRANSMITTALS

#### **Outgoing Transmittals**

Each member jurisdiction shall forward all funds received and a remittance transmittal data listings for each fund transmittal containing information specified in this Section to the 9 appropriate member jurisdictions at least once each month related to tax returns received 10 during each month. All funds received during each month and all corresponding listings must be forwarded by the last day of the following month. Transmittal data listings and 11 12 related funds must be forwarded monthly in accordance with the transmittal and funding calendar prepared by the Clearinghouse Advisory Committee and approved by the IFTA, 13 14 Inc. Board of Trustees. The funds transmittal and the remittance supporting transmittal 15 data listings may be sent separately. A report of no activity is required for each member 16 jurisdiction if no revenue was collected on its behalf.

#### **Incoming Billing Transmittals**

In the event a transmittal data listing to another jurisdiction results in money being owed to the base jurisdiction, the jurisdiction being billed shall remit payment to the base jurisdiction by the last day of the month following the month in which a transmittal was received in accordance with the transmittal and funding calendar prepared by the Clearinghouse Advisory Committee and approved by the IFTA, Inc. Board of Trustees.

- The transmittal data listing shall contain, but not be limited to, the following information:
- .100 The reporting period that the transmittal data listing is for;
- 31 [ALL OTHER SECTIONS UNDER P1040 REMAIN UNCHANGED.]

#### 1 IFTA ARTICLES OF AGREEMENT

# 3 \*R2120 REQUIRED EXCHANGE OF LICENSEE DEMOGRAPHIC, AND TRANSMITTAL DATA 4 LISTINGS, EXCHANGE OF FUNDS AND INTERJURISDICTIONAL AUDIT REPORTS

#### .200 Transmittal Data Listings and Exchange of Funds

| (      |                     |   |
|--------|---------------------|---|
| 8<br>9 | <u>.005</u>         | When the exchange of a transmittal data listing is required among the participating members by the IFTA Articles of Agreement <u>, and</u> the IFTA |
| 10     |                     | Procedures Manual, or the IFTA Audit Manual such requirements shall   |
| 11     |                     | be deemed satisfied by the successful and timely transmission of the  |
| 12     |                     | data to the clearinghouse.  |
| 13     |                     | -   |
| 14     |                     | The participating members shall be required to provide a transmittal data   |
| 15     |                     | listing to all other member jurisdictions as required by the IFTA   |
| 16     |                     | Procedures Manual Section P1040.  |
| 17     |                     |   |
| 18     | <u>.010</u>         | When the exchange of funds is required among the participating  |
| 19     |                     | members by the IFTA Articles of Agreement, the IFTA Procedures  |
| 20     |                     | Manual, and the IFTA Audit Manual such requirements shall be deemed   |
| 21     |                     | satisfied by the successful exchange of funds through the IFTA, Inc.  |
| 22     |                     | Clearinghouse funds netting process.  |
| 23     |                     |   |
| 24     |                     | The participating members shall be required to send funds to all other  |
| 25     |                     | member jurisdictions as required by the IFTA Procedures Manual Sections   |
| 26     |                     | P1040 and P1060 and the IFTA Audit Manual Section A690.   |
| 27     |                     |   |
| 28     | [SECTIONS R2120.100 | ) AND R2120.300 REMAIN UNCHANGED.]  |

IFTA Full Track Final Ballot Proposal #1-2008 November 17, 2008 Page 3 of 4

## FOR VOTE BY 01/20/2009

#### **REVISIONS FOLLOWING THE ANNUAL BUSINESS MEETING**

Proposed amendments to P1040 were revised to indicate that transmittal data listings and funds must be sent in accordance with a calendar prepared by the Clearinghouse Advisory Committee (CAC) and approved by the IFTA, Inc. Board of Trustees. A sample of the calendar and what data may be included on the transmittals to be timely is attached to the ballot **AS AN EXAMPLE ONLY**. At the Annual Business Meeting, it was suggested that a calendar be prepared that included consistent dates for jurisdictions to be able to schedule uploads to the Clearinghouse and payment via funds netting. Because the proposed language is changed and affects jurisdictions not participating in the Clearinghouse, deadlines for sending transmittals and funds are included for non-participating jurisdictions.

Similar revisions were made to the incoming billing transmittal provisions.

#### **REVISIONS FOLLOWING THE SECOND COMMENT PERIOD**

Proposed Effective Date was changed to January 1, 2010

IFTA Articles of Agreement, Section \*2120

- Line 9/10 Added IFTA Audit Manual reference
- Line 19/20 Added the IFTA Audit Manual reference
- Line 25 Added Sections P1060 and A690 references

#### IFTA FULL TRACK FINAL BALLOT PROPOSAL 1-2008 VOTING RESULTS

| JURISDICTION         | LANG | UAGE | EFFECTI  | /E DATE |
|----------------------|------|------|----------|---------|
|                      | YES  | NO   | YES      | NO      |
| ALABAMA              | 1    |      | 1        |         |
| ALBERTA              | 1    |      | 1        |         |
| ARIZONA              | 1    |      | 1        |         |
| ARKANSAS             | 1    |      | 1        |         |
| BRITISH COLUMBIA     | 1    |      | 1        |         |
| CALIFORNIA           | 1    |      | 1        |         |
| COLORADO             | 1    |      | 1        |         |
| CONNECTICUT          |      | 1    |          | 1       |
| DELAWARE             | 1    |      | 1        |         |
| FLORIDA              | 1    |      | 1        |         |
| GEORGIA              | 1    |      | 1        |         |
| IDAHO                |      | 1    |          | 1       |
| ILLINOIS             |      | 1    |          | 1       |
| INDIANA              | 1    |      | 1        |         |
| IOWA                 | 1    |      | 1        |         |
| KANSAS               | 1    |      | 1        |         |
| KENTUCKY             | _    | 1    |          | 1       |
| LOUISIANA            | 1    | -    | 1        | -       |
| MAINE                | 1    |      | 1        |         |
| MANITOBA             | 1    |      | 1        |         |
| MARYLAND             | •    | 1    |          | 1       |
| MASSACHUSETTS        | 1    |      | 1        |         |
| MICHIGAN             | •    | 1    |          | 1       |
| MINNESOTA            | 1    | •    | 1        |         |
| MISSISSIPPI          | 1    |      | 1        |         |
| MISSOURI             | 1    |      | 1        |         |
| MONTANA              | 1    |      | 1        |         |
| NEBRASKA             | 1    |      | 1        |         |
| NEVADA               | 1    |      | 1        |         |
| NEW BRUNSWICK        | 1    |      | 1        |         |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE        | 1    |      | 1        |         |
| NEW JERSEY           | 1    |      | 1        |         |
| NEW MEXICO           | 1    |      | 1        |         |
| NEW YORK             | 1    |      | 1        |         |
| NEWFOUNDLAND         | 1    |      | 1        |         |
| NORTH CAROLINA       | 1    |      | 1        |         |
| NORTH DAKOTA         | 1    |      | 1        |         |
| NOVA SCOTIA          | 1    |      | 1        |         |
| ОНЮ                  | 1    |      |          | 1       |
| OKLAHOMA             | 1    |      | 1        |         |
| ONTARIO              | 1    | 1    |          | 1       |
| OREGON               |      | 1    | <u> </u> | 1       |
| PENNSYLVANIA         |      | 1    | 1        | 1       |
| PRINCE EDWARD ISLAND | 1    | •    | 1        |         |
| QUEBEC               | 1    |      | 1        |         |
| RHODE ISLAND         | 1    | 1    |          | 1       |
| SASKATCHEWAN         | 1    | I    | 1        |         |
|                      | í    |      |          |         |

FTFBP #1-2008 Voting Results Page 1 of 2

#### IFTA FULL TRACK FINAL BALLOT PROPOSAL 1-2008 VOTING RESULTS

| JURISDICTION   | LANGUAGE |    | EFFECT | VE DATE |
|----------------|----------|----|--------|---------|
|                | YES      | NO | YES    | NO      |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 1        |    | 1      |         |
| SOUTH DAKOTA   |          | 1  |        | 1       |
| TENNESSEE      | 1        |    | 1      |         |
| TEXAS          | 1        |    | 1      |         |
| UTAH           | 1        |    | 1      |         |
| VERMONT        | 1        |    | 1      |         |
| VIRGINIA       | 1        |    | 1      |         |
| WASHINGTON     | 1        |    | 1      |         |
| WEST VIRGINIA  | 1        |    | 1      |         |
| WISCONSIN      | 1        |    | 1      |         |
| WYOMING        | 1        |    | 1      |         |
| TOTALS         | 47       | 11 | 46     | 12      |

Bold font in the voting total columns and shading indicate that the jurisdiction did not vote.

Failure to vote for the ballot language counts as a "No" vote. Failure to vote for the alternative effective date counts as a "No" vote.

## Number of "YES" votes necessary to pass: 44 Effective Date: January 1, 2010

#### LANGUAGE:

| NUMBER OF "YES" VOTES RECEIVED: | 47     |
|---------------------------------|--------|
| NUMBER OF "NO" VOTES RECEIVED:  | 11     |
| NUMBER OF VOTES NOT RECEIVED:   | 0      |
| RESULT:                         | PASSED |
| ALTERNATIVE EFFECTIVE DATE:     |        |
| NUMBER OF "YES" VOTES RECEIVED: | 46     |
| NUMBER OF "NO" VOTES RECEIVED:  | 12     |
| NUMBER OF VOTES NOT RECEIVED:   | 0      |
| RESULT:                         | PASSED |

#### Ballot Intent:

The intent of this ballot is to make the changes necessary to facilitate the electronic exchange of data and funds among Clearinghouse participants and allow additional time for all member jurisdictions to make payments. As a practical matter, many jurisdictions often fail to transmit at least some funds timely. This proposal acknowledges the difficulty of processing all returns received in a month and transmitting the funds on time. The ballot establishes a transmittal and funding calendar which is approved by the Board of Trustees and specifies deadlines for forwarding data and funds.

## FOR VOTE BY 12/10/2008



#### IFTA SHORT TRACK FINAL BALLOT PROPOSAL #2-2008

<u>Sponsor</u> Agreement Procedures Committee

Date Submitted

March 5, 2008

Proposed Effective Date

Upon Passage

#### Manual Sections to be Amended

Procedures Manual

P1050 Numbering of Monthly Transmittals

#### Subject

Notification from Base Jurisdictions to Travel Jurisdictions of number of transmittals sent for the calendar year.

#### History/Digest

Currently, IFTA requires, "At the end of each calendar year, each jurisdiction shall notify other jurisdictions of the number of transmittals that have been sent that calendar year".

#### <u>Intent</u>

The intent of this ballot is to eliminate the requirement for all IFTA Clearinghouse member Jurisdictions to send year end notification to other IFTA Clearinghouse member jurisdictions of how many transmittals were sent for the calendar year. For Clearinghouse participant jurisdictions, this information is readily available on the Clearinghouse.

#### Interlining Indicates Deletion; Underlining Indicates Addition

#### **Procedures Manual**

1

2

3

4

5

6

#### P1050 Numbering of Monthly Transmittals

The base jurisdiction is responsible for consecutively numbering each set of transmittals to each jurisdiction for each calendar year. At the end of each calendar year, each <u>non-Clearinghouse</u> <u>member</u> jurisdiction shall notify other jurisdictions of the number of transmittals that have been sent that calendar year. At the end of each calendar year, Clearinghouse members shall notify all non-Clearinghouse members the number of transmittals that have been sent that calendar year.

MOVED TO SHORT TRACK AT THE ANNUAL BUSINESS MEETING NO REVISIONS FOLLOWING THE ANNUAL BUSINESS MEETING NO REVISIONS FOLLOWING THE SECOND COMMENT PERIOD

#### IFTA SHORT TRACK FINAL BALLOT PROPOSAL 2-2008 VOTING RESULTS

| JURISDICTION         | LANG | UAGE | EFFECTI | VE DATE |
|----------------------|------|------|---------|---------|
|                      | YES  | NO   | YES     | NO      |
| ALABAMA              | 1    |      | 1       |         |
| ALBERTA              | 1    |      | 1       |         |
| ARIZONA              | 1    |      | 1       |         |
| ARKANSAS             | 1    |      | 1       |         |
| BRITISH COLUMBIA     | 1    |      | 1       |         |
| CALIFORNIA           | 1    |      | 1       |         |
| COLORADO             | 1    |      | 1       |         |
| CONNECTICUT          | 1    |      | 1       |         |
| DELAWARE             | 1    |      | 1       |         |
| FLORIDA              | 1    |      | 1       |         |
| GEORGIA              | 1    |      | 1       |         |
| IDAHO                | 1    |      | 1       |         |
| ILLINOIS             | 1    |      | 1       |         |
| INDIANA              | 1    |      | 1       |         |
| IOWA                 | 1    |      | 1       |         |
| KANSAS               | 1    |      | 1       |         |
| KENTUCKY             | 1    |      | 1       |         |
| LOUISIANA            | 1    |      | 1       |         |
| MAINE                | 1    |      | 1       |         |
| MANITOBA             | 1    |      | 1       |         |
| MARYLAND             | 1    |      | 1       |         |
| MASSACHUSETTS        | 1    |      | 1       |         |
| MICHIGAN             | 1    |      | 1       |         |
| MINNESOTA            | 1    |      | 1       |         |
| MISSISSIPPI          | 1    |      | 1       |         |
| MISSOURI             | 1    |      | 1       |         |
| MONTANA              | 1    |      | 1       |         |
| NEBRASKA             | 1    |      | 1       |         |
| NEVADA               | 1    |      | 1       |         |
| NEW BRUNSWICK        | 1    |      | 1       |         |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE        | 1    |      | 1       |         |
| NEW JERSEY           | 1    |      | 1       |         |
| NEW MEXICO           | 1    |      | 1       |         |
| NEW YORK             | 1    |      | 1       |         |
| NEWFOUNDLAND         | 1    |      | 1       |         |
| NORTH CAROLINA       | 1    |      | 1       |         |
| NORTH DAKOTA         | 1    |      | 1       |         |
| NOVA SCOTIA          | -    | 1    |         | 1       |
| OHIO                 | 1    |      | 1       |         |
| OKLAHOMA             | 1    |      | 1       |         |
| ONTARIO              | 1    |      | 1       |         |
| OREGON               | 1    |      | 1       |         |
| PENNSYLVANIA         | 1    |      | 1       |         |
| PRINCE EDWARD ISLAND | 1    |      | 1       |         |
| QUEBEC               | 1    |      | 1       |         |
| RHODE ISLAND         | 1    |      | 1       |         |
| SASKATCHEWAN         | 1    |      | 1       |         |
|                      | I    |      | 1       |         |

STFBP #2-2008 Voting Results Page 1 of 2

#### IFTA SHORT TRACK FINAL BALLOT PROPOSAL 2-2008 VOTING RESULTS

| JURISDICTION   | LANGUAGE |    | EFFECTIVE DATE |    |
|----------------|----------|----|----------------|----|
|                | YES      | NO | YES            | NO |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 1        |    | 1              |    |
| SOUTH DAKOTA   | 1        |    | 1              |    |
| TENNESSEE      | 1        |    | 1              |    |
| TEXAS          | 1        |    | 1              |    |
| UTAH           | 1        |    | 1              |    |
| VERMONT        | 1        |    | 1              |    |
| VIRGINIA       | 1        |    | 1              |    |
| WASHINGTON     | 1        |    | 1              |    |
| WEST VIRGINIA  | 1        |    | 1              |    |
| WISCONSIN      | 1        |    | 1              |    |
| WYOMING        | 1        |    | 1              |    |
| TOTALS         | 57       | 1  | 57             | 1  |

Bold font in the voting total columns and shading indicate that the jurisdiction did not vote.

Failure to vote for the ballot language counts as a "No" vote.

Failure to vote for the alternative effective date counts as a "No" vote.

#### Number of "YES" votes necesary to pass: 44 Effective Date: Upon Passage (December 11, 2008)

#### LANGUAGE:

| NUMBER OF "YES" VOTES RECEIVED: | 57     |
|---------------------------------|--------|
| NUMBER OF "NO" VOTES RECEIVED:  | 1      |
| NUMBER OF VOTES NOT RECEIVED:   | 0      |
| RESULT:                         | PASSED |
| ALTERNATIVE EFFECTIVE DATE:     |        |
| NUMBER OF "YES" VOTES RECEIVED: | 57     |
| NUMBER OF "NO" VOTES RECEIVED:  | 1      |
| NUMBER OF VOTES NOT RECEIVED:   | 0      |
| RESULT:                         | PASSED |

#### Ballot Intent:

The intent of this ballot is to eliminate the requirement for all IFTA Clearinghouse member Jurisdictions to send year end notification to other IFTA Clearinghouse member jurisdictions of how many transmittals were sent for the calendar year. For Clearinghouse participant jurisdictions, this information is readily available on the Clearinghouse.

#### WITHDRAWN FOLLOWING THE FIRST COMMENT PERIOD



#### IFTA FULL TRACK PRELIMINARY BALLOT PROPOSAL #3-2008

#### **Sponsor**

IFTA, Inc. Law Enforcement Committee

#### **Date Submitted**

March 21, 2008

#### Proposed Effective Date

January 1, 2009

#### Manual Sections to be Added

Articles of Agreement

R160 Uniformity of Licensing Enforcement

#### Subject

Uniformity in Enforcement Practices

#### History/Digest

The law enforcement committee recognizes the lack of uniformity in policies, practices, and legislation affecting roadside enforcement activities among member jurisdictions. The committee recognizes and supports the value of consistent and uniform enforcement to facilitate voluntary compliance with the IFTA agreement.

#### Intent

The intent of this addition to the Articles of Agreement is to establish uniform enforcement of IFTA licensing violations among member jurisdictions and support jurisdictional legislative changes and practices required to obtain uniformity. Please note that uniform enforcement practices will be established through the ballot process identifying enforcement activities subject to this provision.

#### Interlining Indicates Deletion; Underlining Indicates Addition

- 1 ARTICLE I
- 3 R100 AGREEMENT PRINCIPLE

#### 5 [SECTIONS R110 THROUGH R150 REMAIN UNCHANGED.] 6

- R160 UNIFORMITY OF LICENSING ENFORCEMENT
  - It is the purpose of this agreement to support uniform roadside enforcement of IFTA licensing violations and to establish uniform enforcement practices through the ballot process. Enforcement practices must be approved by balloting and upon ratification become a recommended enforcement standard recognized by the member jurisdictions. Implementation of the enforcement standards established may not be mandated or otherwise required
- 14 15 16 17

7

8 9

10

11 12

13

[ALL OTHER SECTIONS UNDER R100 REMAIN UNCHANGED.]

## FOR VOTE BY 01/20/2009



#### IFTA FULL TRACK FINAL BALLOT PROPOSAL #4-2008

#### Sponsor

Jurisdiction of Missouri

#### **Date Submitted**

March 21, 2008

#### **Proposed Effective Date**

July 1, 2009

#### Manual Sections to be Amended

IFTA Articles of Agreement Article II DEFINITIONS

Section R245 Qualified Motor Vehicle Section R247 Historic Vehicle

#### Subject

Historic Vehicles

#### **History/Digest**

Hobbyists who collect historic commercial vehicles do so to preserve these artifacts of the trucking industry and operate these vehicles as parade, show, and pleasure vehicles. There are currently less than 10,000 such Historic Vehicles operational in all jurisdictions. And of this number less than 5,000 are driven more than 1,000 miles per year, with less than 2,000 that operate in more than one jurisdiction on an annual basis. This would suggest that allowing Historic Vehicles to operate as unqualified vehicles would have virtually no detrimental economic impact on the member jurisdictions. This exemption could actually reduce costs by eliminating IFTA accounts that would not operate any reportable miles for two or three reporting periods annually.

Currently, a Qualified Motor Vehicle under IFTA means a motor vehicle used designed or maintained for transportation of persons or property. Missouri recognizes that vehicles displaying historic plates are not used, designed or maintained for commercial transportation of people or property, are only intended for personal use with limited miles of operation per year and that most jurisdictions have allowed these vehicles to operate in and thru their jurisdiction as an unqualified vehicle.

To be considered a Historic Vehicle all vehicles must be registered as a Historic, Antique, or Collector vehicle in their Base Jurisdiction and subject to any restrictions imposed by said jurisdiction. The intent of this ballot is not to exempt Historic Vehicles from paying fuel tax rather to exempt them from credentialing and reporting as Qualified Vehicles under IFTA.

IFTA Full Track Final Ballot Proposal #4-2008 November 17, 2008 Page 1 of 2

#### Intent

To exempt historic vehicles from credentialing and reporting as Qualified Vehicles under IFTA.

#### Interlining Indicates Deletion; Underlining Indicates Addition

| 1  | IFTA A                | IFTA ARTICLES OF AGREEMENT  |   |   |  |  |  |
|--|-----------------------|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| 2<br>3   | ARTIC                 | ARTICLE II  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 4<br>5   | R200                  | DEFIN   | ITIONS  |   |  |  |  |
| 6<br>7<br>8  |                       | <b>*R245</b> Qualified Motor Vehicle means a motor vehicle used, designed, or maintained for transportation of persons or property and: |   |   |  |  |  |
| 9<br>10<br>11                                      |                       |   | .100  | Having two axles and a gross vehicle weight or registered gross vehicle weight exceeding 26,000 pounds or 11,797 kilograms; or  |  |  |  |
| 12<br>13   |                       |   | .200  | Having three or more axles regardless of weight; or   |  |  |  |
| 14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                         |                       |   | .300  | Is used in combination when the weight of such combination exceeds 26,000 pounds or 11,797 kilograms gross vehicle or registered gross vehicle weight. <b>Qualified Motor Vehicle</b> does not include recreational vehicles <u>or historic vehicles</u> .  |  |  |  |
| 19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23<br>24<br>25<br>26<br>27 | <u>R247</u><br>[ALL 0 | the gov<br>Collect<br>must b<br>and sh<br>transpo   | verning r<br>or, Histo<br>e registe<br>all not b<br>orting pe | e means a vehicle whose date of manufacture meets the criteria established by<br>motor vehicle laws of the base jurisdiction for the issuance of Special, Antique,<br>pric or Vintage license plates. In order to qualify as a historic vehicle, the vehicle<br>ered as Antique, Collector, Historic, or Vintage in the licensee's base jurisdiction<br>e used in connection with any for profit business endeavor that includes<br>ersons or property. |  |  |  |
| 28   |                       |   |   |   |  |  |  |

#### **REVISIONS FOLLOWING THE FIRST COMMENT PERIOD**

Lines 24-25 – added "for profit" and "that includes transporting persons or property".

#### NO REVISIONS FOLLOWING THE ANNUAL BUSINESS MEETING

#### NO REVISIONS FOLLOWING THE SECOND COMMENT PERIOD

#### IFTA FULL TRACK FINAL BALLOT PROPOSAL 4-2008 VOTING RESULTS

| JURISDICTION         | LANC | GUAGE | EFFECT   | VE DATE |
|----------------------|------|-------|----------|---------|
|                      | YES  | NO    | YES      | NO      |
| ALABAMA              | 1    |       | 1        |         |
| ALBERTA              |      | 1     |          | 1       |
| ARIZONA              | 1    |       | 1        |         |
| ARKANSAS             | 1    |       | 1        |         |
| BRITISH COLUMBIA     |      | 1     |          | 1       |
| CALIFORNIA           | 1    |       | 1        |         |
| COLORADO             |      | 1     |          | 1       |
| CONNECTICUT          |      | 1     |          | 1       |
| DELAWARE             |      | 1     |          | 1       |
| FLORIDA              |      | 1     |          | 1       |
| GEORGIA              | 1    |       | 1        |         |
| IDAHO                | 1    |       | 1        |         |
| ILLINOIS             | 1    |       | 1        |         |
| INDIANA              | 1    |       | 1        |         |
| IOWA                 | 1    |       | 1        |         |
| KANSAS               |      | 1     |          | 1       |
| KENTUCKY             |      | 1     |          | 1       |
| LOUISIANA            |      | 1     | 1        |         |
| MAINE                | 1    |       | 1        |         |
| MANITOBA             | 1    |       | 1        |         |
| MARYLAND             |      | 1     |          | 1       |
| MASSACHUSETTS        | 1    |       | 1        |         |
| MICHIGAN             | •    | 1     |          | 1       |
| MINNESOTA            |      | 1     |          | 1       |
| MISSISSIPPI          |      | 1     | 1        |         |
| MISSOURI             | 1    | •     | 1        |         |
| MONTANA              | 1    |       | 1        |         |
| NEBRASKA             | •    | 1     | 1        |         |
| NEVADA               |      | 1     |          | 1       |
| NEW BRUNSWICK        |      | 1     |          | 1       |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE        |      | 1     |          | 1       |
| NEW JERSEY           |      | 1     |          | 1       |
| NEW MEXICO           | 1    |       | 1        |         |
| NEW YORK             | 1    |       | 1        |         |
| NEWFOUNDLAND         | 1    |       | 1        |         |
| NORTH CAROLINA       | 1    | 1     | 1        |         |
| NORTH DAKOTA         | 1    |       | 1        |         |
| NOVA SCOTIA          | 1    | 1     | 1        |         |
| OHIO                 | 1    | 1     | <u> </u> | 1       |
| OKLAHOMA             | 1    |       | 1        | · · ·   |
| ONTARIO              | 1    | 1     |          | 1       |
| OREGON               |      | 1     |          | 1       |
| PENNSYLVANIA         |      | 1     |          | 1       |
| PRINCE EDWARD ISLAND |      | 1     | +        | 1       |
| QUEBEC               |      | 1     |          | 1       |
| RHODE ISLAND         |      | 1     | +        | 1       |
| SASKATCHEWAN         | 1    |       | 1        | 1       |
| SAGNATUREWAN         | I    |       |          |         |

FTFBP #4-2008 Voting Results Page 1 of 2

#### IFTA FULL TRACK FINAL BALLOT PROPOSAL 4-2008 VOTING RESULTS

| JURISDICTION   | LANGUAGE |    | EFFECT | IVE DATE |
|----------------|----------|----|--------|----------|
|                | YES      | NO | YES    | NO       |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 1        |    | 1      |          |
| SOUTH DAKOTA   | 1        |    | 1      |          |
| TENNESSEE      |          | 1  |        | 1        |
| TEXAS          |          | 1  |        | 1        |
| UTAH           | 1        |    | 1      |          |
| VERMONT        | 1        |    | 1      |          |
| VIRGINIA       | 1        |    | 1      |          |
| WASHINGTON     | 1        |    | 1      |          |
| WEST VIRGINIA  | 1        |    | 1      |          |
| WISCONSIN      | 1        |    | 1      |          |
| WYOMING        | 1        |    | 1      |          |
| TOTALS         | 31       | 27 | 34     | 24       |

Bold font in the voting total columns and shading indicate that the jurisdiction did not vote.

Failure to vote for the ballot language counts as a "No" vote.

Failure to vote for the alternative effective date counts as a "No" vote.

## Number of "YES" votes necessary to pass: 44 Effective Date: July 1, 2009

#### LANGUAGE:

| NUMBER OF "YES" VOTES RECEIVED:  | 31     |  |  |  |  |
|--|--------|--|--|--|--|
| NUMBER OF "NO" VOTES RECEIVED:   | 27     |  |  |  |  |
| NUMBER OF VOTES NOT RECEIVED:  | 0      |  |  |  |  |
| RESULT:  | FAILED |  |  |  |  |
| ALTERNATIVE EFFECTIVE DATE:  |        |  |  |  |  |
| NUMBER OF "YES" VOTES RECEIVED:  | 34     |  |  |  |  |
| NUMBER OF "NO" VOTES RECEIVED:   | 24     |  |  |  |  |
| NUMBER OF VOTES NOT RECEIVED:  | 0      |  |  |  |  |
| RESULT:<br>Ballot Intent:  | FAILED |  |  |  |  |
| To exempt historic vehicles from credentialing and reporting as Qualified Vehicles under IFTA. |        |  |  |  |  |

## FOR VOTE BY 01/20/2009



#### IFTA FULL TRACK FINAL BALLOT PROPOSAL #5-2008

#### <u>Sponsor</u>

Jurisdictions of: lowa Indiana Minnesota Missouri

#### **Date Submitted**

March 24, 2008

#### Proposed Effective Date

1/1/2010

| Manual | Sections | to be | Amended |
|--------|----------|-------|---------|
|        |          |       |         |

(January 1996 Version, Effective July 1, 1998, as revised)

IFTA Procedures Manual

P220 Social Security Numbers

P240 Base Jurisdiction Assigned Numbers

#### Subject

Eliminate the use of the United States social security number and use only the Tax ID Number (TIN) or jurisdictional assigned number on the IFTA License and the Fuel Tax Return. Provides each jurisdiction to use their own 9 digit account numbers as their system allows for.

#### History/Digest

IFTA Procedures Manual requires each US jurisdiction to use either the Employer Tax Identification Number or Social Security number as the IFTA Account Identification Number. Under P230 Canadian jurisdictions are exempt from this requirement and allowed to use their own numbering system. The Canadian jurisdictions are concerned about their Tax ID Numbers and Social numbers being accessed by others. In the US, the Social Security Number has specific privacy issues.

#### <u>Intent</u>

The intent of this amendment is to eliminate the requirement for US jurisdictions to use the Social Security Number. It is essential that the US Social Security number remain 'private'. In lieu of using the Tax ID Number, jurisdictions will use a carrier identification number that meets the eleven-character requirement defined in the IFTA Procedures Manual P200.

IFTA Full Track Final Ballot Proposal #5-2008 November 17, 2008 Page 1 of 2

#### Interlining Indicates Deletion; Underlining Indicates Addition

| 1<br>2   | PROCEDURES MANUAL            |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7                              | P200                         | ACCOUNT IDENTIFICATION   |  |  |  |  |
|  | system                       | risdiction shall assign account numbers to its licensees according to a uniform numbering of eleven characters which shall contain as the first two characters the alphabetic designation base jurisdiction.   |  |  |  |  |
| 8<br>9<br>10                                       | *P210                        | FEDERAL EMPLOYER IDENTIFICATION NUMBERS  |  |  |  |  |
| 11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15                         |                              | For U.S. jurisdictions, the subsequent nine characters will be the Federal Employer<br>Identification number of the licensee issued by the Internal Revenue Service.<br>Jurisdictions that establish fleet accounts shall identify each account with a fleet identifier<br>in addition to the carrier identification number.   |  |  |  |  |
| 16<br>16<br>17                                     | P220 SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBERS |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17<br>18<br>19<br>20                               |                              | The Social Security number of an officer of the company may be used if the company does not have a federal identification number.  |  |  |  |  |
| 20<br>21<br>22                                     | *P230                        | CANADIAN IDENTIFICATION NUMBERS  |  |  |  |  |
| 23<br>24<br>25                                     |                              | Canadian jurisdictions will adopt a numbering system for the subsequent nine characters which will meet their needs.   |  |  |  |  |
| 26<br>27   | *P240                        | US BASE JURISDICTION ASSIGNED NUMBERS  |  |  |  |  |
| 28<br>29<br>30<br>31<br>32<br>33<br>34<br>35<br>36 |                              | A licensee who <u>does not have a US Tax ID Number</u> refuses to give identification number<br>information or is erroneously issued an International Fuel Tax Agreement License without<br>providing this information may be given a base jurisdiction assigned number beginning with<br>90. <u>the jurisdictions 2 digit alpha designation</u> . This will become the licensee's identification<br>number for transmittal purposes until the correct information is obtained. The same procedure<br>will apply if the licensee has applied for, but not yet received, his U.S. federal identification<br>number. For audit purposes, the identity of the base jurisdiction assigned number must be<br>maintained after the correct number is provided by the licensee. |  |  |  |  |

### **REVISIONS FOLLOWING THE ANNUAL BUSINESS MEETING**

- Allows jurisdiction prefix and eliminates the US social security number from being used. Allows tax id number.
- Effective Date moved to 1/1/2010

#### IFTA FULL TRACK FINAL BALLOT PROPOSAL 5-2008 VOTING RESULTS

| JURISDICTION         | LANGUAGE |    | EFFECTIVE DATE |    |  |
|----------------------|----------|----|----------------|----|--|
|                      | YES      | NO | YES            | NO |  |
| ALABAMA              | 1        |    | 1              |    |  |
| ALBERTA              | 1        |    | 1              |    |  |
| ARIZONA              | 1        |    | 1              |    |  |
| ARKANSAS             | 1        |    | 1              |    |  |
| BRITISH COLUMBIA     | 1        |    | 1              |    |  |
| CALIFORNIA           |          | 1  |                | 1  |  |
| COLORADO             |          | 1  |                | 1  |  |
| CONNECTICUT          | 1        |    | 1              |    |  |
| DELAWARE             |          | 1  |                | 1  |  |
| FLORIDA              |          | 1  |                | 1  |  |
| GEORGIA              | 1        |    | 1              |    |  |
| IDAHO                | 1        |    | 1              |    |  |
| ILLINOIS             |          | 1  |                | 1  |  |
| INDIANA              | 1        |    | 1              |    |  |
| IOWA                 | 1        |    | 1              |    |  |
| KANSAS               |          | 1  | 1              | 1  |  |
| KENTUCKY             |          | 1  |                | 1  |  |
| LOUISIANA            |          | 1  | 1              |    |  |
| MAINE                | 1        |    | 1              |    |  |
| MANITOBA             | 1        |    | 1              |    |  |
| MARYLAND             |          | 1  |                | 1  |  |
| MASSACHUSETTS        |          | 1  |                | 1  |  |
| MICHIGAN             | 1        |    | 1              |    |  |
| MINNESOTA            | 1        |    | 1              |    |  |
| MISSISSIPPI          |          | 1  | 1              |    |  |
| MISSOURI             | 1        |    | 1              |    |  |
| MONTANA              |          | 1  |                | 1  |  |
| NEBRASKA             |          | 1  | 1              |    |  |
| NEVADA               |          | 1  |                | 1  |  |
| NEW BRUNSWICK        | 1        |    | 1              |    |  |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE        | 1        |    | 1              |    |  |
| NEW JERSEY           | 1        |    | 1              |    |  |
| NEW MEXICO           | 1        |    | 1              |    |  |
| NEW YORK             | 1        |    | 1              |    |  |
| NEWFOUNDLAND         | 1        |    | 1              |    |  |
| NORTH CAROLINA       |          |    |                |    |  |
| NORTH DAKOTA         | 1        |    |                | 1  |  |
| NOVA SCOTIA          | 1        |    | 1              |    |  |
| ОНІО                 |          | 1  |                | 1  |  |
| OKLAHOMA             |          | 1  |                | 1  |  |
| ONTARIO              | 1        |    | 1              |    |  |
| OREGON               | -        | 1  |                | 1  |  |
| PENNSYLVANIA         |          | 1  |                | 1  |  |
| PRINCE EDWARD ISLAND | 1        | -  | 1              |    |  |
| QUEBEC               | 1        |    | 1              |    |  |
| RHODE ISLAND         | 1        |    | 1              |    |  |
| SASKATCHEWAN         | 1        |    | 1              |    |  |
|                      |          | 1  | 1 · ·          |    |  |

FTFBP #5-2008 Voting Results Page 1 of 2

#### IFTA FULL TRACK FINAL BALLOT PROPOSAL 5-2008 VOTING RESULTS

| JURISDICTION   | LANGUAGE |    | EFFECTIVE DATE |    |
|----------------|----------|----|----------------|----|
|                | YES      | NO | YES            | NO |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 1        |    | 1              |    |
| SOUTH DAKOTA   | 1        |    | 1              |    |
| TENNESSEE      | 1        |    | 1              |    |
| TEXAS          | 1        |    | 1              |    |
| UTAH           | 1        |    | 1              |    |
| VERMONT        | 1        |    | 1              |    |
| VIRGINIA       |          | 1  | 1              |    |
| WASHINGTON     | 1        |    | 1              |    |
| WEST VIRGINIA  | 1        |    | 1              |    |
| WISCONSIN      | 1        |    | 1              |    |
| WYOMING        | 1        |    | 1              |    |
| TOTALS         | 38       | 19 | 41             | 16 |

Bold font in the voting total columns and shading indicate that the jurisdiction did not vote.

Failure to vote for the ballot language counts as a "No" vote. Failure to vote for the alternative effective date counts as a "No" vote.

## Number of "YES" votes necessary to pass: 44 Effective Date: January 1, 2010

#### LANGUAGE:

| NUMBER OF "YES" VOTES RECEIVED: | 38     |
|---------------------------------|--------|
| NUMBER OF "NO" VOTES RECEIVED:  | 19     |
| NUMBER OF VOTES NOT RECEIVED:   | 1      |
| RESULT:                         | FAILED |
| ALTERNATIVE EFFECTIVE DATE:     |        |
| NUMBER OF "YES" VOTES RECEIVED: | 41     |
| NUMBER OF "NO" VOTES RECEIVED:  | 16     |
| NUMBER OF VOTES NOT RECEIVED:   | 1      |
| RESULT:                         | FAILED |

#### Ballot Intent:

The intent of this amendment is to eliminate the requirement for US jurisdictions to use the Social Security Number. It is essential that the US Social Security number remain 'private'. In lieu of using the Tax ID Number, jurisdictions will use a carrier identification number that meets the eleven-character requirement defined in the IFTA Procedures Manual P200.

## WITHDRAWN FOLLOWING THE SECOND COMMENT PERIOD



#### IFTA FULL TRACK FINAL BALLOT PROPOSAL #6-2008

#### <u>Sponsor</u>

IFTA, Inc. Board of Trustees

#### Date Submitted

March 17, 2008

#### Proposed Effective Date

Upon Passage

#### Manual Sections to be Amended

(January 1996 Version, Effective July 1, 1998, as revised)

Procedures Manual

P1120 Tax Rate Reporting; .100 Reporting Requirement .300 Failure to Report Tax Rate Changes

#### <u>Subject</u>

Reporting requirements of a tax rate for a new motor fuel type.

#### <u>History/Digest</u>

In 2005, the IFTA, Inc. Board of Trustees proposed and the membership ratified a resolution, as a result of the emergence of alternative fuels. This resolution set out that blended or interchangeable fuels with differing tax rates is not consistent with a uniform motor carrier fuel tax program and that fuel types that may be blended or used interchangeably in a qualified motor vehicle should be reported as one fuel type/tax rate to maintain the basic principles under IFTA. It also encouraged member jurisdictions to utilize the exempt fuel use provisions set out in R830.

Since the resolution several jurisdictions have introduced a variety of incentives for blends of bio diesel. There were many questions and concerns raised by member jurisdictions and industry as to how alternative fuels, and in particular, blended or interchangeable fuels can be administered under the framework of IFTA. As a result of these questions and concerns, the IFTA, Inc. Board of Trustees formed a special committee, the Alternative Fuels Committee (AFC). The AFC responsibilities include: surveying jurisdictions; summarizing the incentives/exemptions currently offered by jurisdictions; analyzing the impacts of alternative fuels and providing recommendations to the Board as to possible changes to IFTA.

## WITHDRAWN FOLLOWING THE SECOND COMMENT PERIOD

The AFC is comprised of representatives from most of the IFTA standing and specials committees, including the Attorneys' Section Steering Committee. Early in 2007, the committee developed a survey that was sent to all member jurisdictions, which 86% of jurisdictions responded. The results of the survey concluded that a majority of jurisdictions wanted alternative fuels to be handled in accordance with the membership resolution and wanted detailed information on incentives offered by other jurisdictions. In addition, many jurisdictions wanted more timely notification of a new motor fuel type. The committee presented these results and the next steps to the membership at the 2007 Annual Business Meeting. The AFC has drafted ballot language to incorporate the intent of the membership resolution into the Agreement and to include reporting requirements for new motor fuel types.

#### <u>Intent</u>

The intent of this ballot is to amend the tax rate reporting by jurisdictions to include notification requirements of a rate for a new motor fuel type that is added to the tax matrix.

#### Interlining Indicates Deletion; Underlining Indicates Addition

| 1<br>2<br>3 | -             | -       | S MANUAL<br>ATE REPORTING   |
|-------------|---------------|---------|---|
| 4<br>5      |               | .100    | Reporting Requirements  |
| 6           |               |         | Member jurisdictions are required to notify the repository at the earliest possible   |
| 7           |               |         | time of a change in their tax rate(s) and/or the addition of a new motor fuel type  |
| 8           |               |         | to the tax matrix. The repository will then immediately notify each member  |
| 9           |               |         | jurisdiction in accordance with the procedures specified in the IFTA Procedures   |
| 10<br>11    |               |         | Manual.   |
| 12          | <b>[Subse</b> | ction P | 1120.200 remains unchanged.]  |
| 13          | 100000        |         |   |
| 14          |               | .300    | Failure to Report Tax Rate Changes <u>or a New Motor Fuel Type</u>  |
| 15          |               |         |   |
| 16          |               |         | If notification of a tax rate change is not received by the other jurisdictions at  |
| 17          |               |         | least 60 days prior to the due date of a quarterly tax return for which the change  |
| 18          |               |         | is effective or if notification of a tax rate for a new motor fuel type that is added to  |
| 19          |               |         | the tax matrix is not received by the other jurisdictions at least thirty days prior to   |
| 20          |               |         | the start of the quarter for which the new motor fuel type is effective, the other<br>jurisdictions will be relieved from taking extraordinary measures to implementing |
| 21<br>22    |               |         | the change for that quarter. The jurisdictions that failed to provide adequate  |
| 23          |               |         | timely notification may, however, collect any additional taxes due directly from  |
| 23<br>24    |               |         | the licensees in the other jurisdictions.   |
|             |               |         | REVISIONS FOLLOWING THE FIRST COMMENT PERIOD  |
|             | ŀ             |         | Line 8/9 & 19/20 – Language referencing the "tax matrix" was added in use to comments about defining a "motor fuel type".   |
|             |               | F       | REVISIONS FOLLOWING THE ANNUAL BUSINESS MEETING   |
|             | •             | Line 2  | 0 - By the first day of the month to at least 30 days prior to the …  |
|             |               |         |   |

## WITHDRAWN FOLLOWING THE ANNUAL BUSINESS MEETING



#### IFTA FULL TRACK PRELIMINARY BALLOT PROPOSAL #7-2008 Sponsor IFTA, Inc. Board of Trustees **Date Submitted** March 17, 2008 **Proposed Effective Date** Upon Passage (January 1996 Version, Effective July 1, 1998, as revised) Manual Sections to be Amended Articles of Agreement R200 Definitions: R213 **Blended Fuel** R900 Reporting; Reporting of Blended Fuels R945 Subject Definition of "Blended Fuel" and reporting of blended fuels

#### History/Digest

In 2005, the IFTA, Inc. Board of Trustees proposed and the membership ratified a resolution, as a result of the emergence of alternative fuels. This resolution set out that blended or interchangeable fuels with differing tax rates is not consistent with a uniform motor carrier fuel tax program and that fuel types that may be blended or used interchangeably in a qualified motor vehicle should be reported as one fuel type/tax rate to maintain the basic principles under IFTA. It also encouraged member jurisdictions to utilize the exempt fuel use provisions set out in R830.

Since the resolution several jurisdictions have introduced a variety of incentives for blends of bio diesel. There were many questions and concerns raised by member jurisdictions and industry as to how alternative fuels, and in particular, blended or interchangeable fuels can be administered under the framework of IFTA. As a result of these questions and concerns, the IFTA, Inc. Board of Trustees formed a special committee, the Alternative Fuels Committee (AFC). The AFC responsibilities include: surveying jurisdictions; summarizing the incentives/exemptions currently offered by jurisdictions; analyzing the impacts of alternative fuels and providing recommendations to the Board as to possible changes to IFTA.

The AFC is comprised of representatives from most of the IFTA standing and specials committees, including the Attorneys' Section Steering Committee. Early in 2007, the committee developed a survey

IFTA Full Track Preliminary Ballot Proposal #7-2008 March 17, 2008 Page 1 of 3 that was sent to all member jurisdictions, which 86% of jurisdictions responded. The results of the survey concluded that a majority of jurisdictions wanted alternative fuels to be handled in accordance with the membership resolution and wanted detailed information on incentives offered by other jurisdictions. In addition, many jurisdictions wanted more timely notification of a new fuel type. The committee presented these results and the next steps to the membership at the 2007 Annual Business Meeting. The AFC has drafted ballot language to incorporate the intent of the membership resolution into the Agreement and to include reporting requirements for new fuel types.

### <u>Intent</u>

**ARTICLES OF AGREEMENT** 

The intent of this ballot is to include a definition of "blended fuel", set out reporting requirements for blended fuels and to allow the base jurisdiction to provide adjustments where there is an exemption or different tax rate for a blended fuel.

#### Interlining Indicates Deletion; Underlining Indicates Addition

| 3              | Article     | e II        |   |
|----------------|-------------|-------------|---|
| 4<br>5<br>6    | R200        | Defini      | tions   |
| 7<br>8         | [Section    | ons R20     | 03 through R212 remain unchanged.   |
| 9              | <u>R213</u> | Blend       | ed Fuel means a motor fuel that is blended with another type of motor fuel or product; or     |
| 10             |             | may be      | e used interchangeably with another type of motor fuel.                                       |
| 11<br>12<br>13 | <u>R945</u> | <u>REPO</u> | RTING OF BLENDED FUELS  |
| 14             |             | <u>.100</u> | Reporting   |
| 15             |             |             |   |
| 16             |             |             | If a jurisdiction provides for an exemption or a different tax rate for a blended fuel, the   |
| 17             |             |             | blended fuel shall be reported as if it were comprised entirely of the fuel within the blend  |
| 18             |             |             | having the highest tax rate.  |
| 19             |             |             |   |
| 20             |             | <u>.200</u> | Adjustments in base jurisdiction  |
| 21             |             |             |   |
| 22             |             |             | Notwithstanding subsection 830.300, a base jurisdiction may provide for adjustments on        |
| 23             |             |             | its IFTA return to avoid overpayments by licensees based in the jurisdiction with respect     |
| 24             |             |             | to the use of blended fuels within the jurisdiction.  |
| 25             |             | 200         |   |
| 26             |             | <u>.300</u> | Notification  |
| 27             |             |             | If a jurisdiction normite adjustments is accordance with subsection 200 it shall notify all   |
| 28             |             |             | If a jurisdiction permits adjustments in accordance with subsection .200, it shall notify all |
| 29             |             |             | member jurisdictions and the repository of this policy.                                       |
| 30             |             |             |   |

#### NO REVISIONS FOLLOWING THE FIRST COMMENT PERIOD

Upon review of the comments presented in response to this ballot, the Board understands that certain complications are presented that will need to be addressed in order for the ballot to be acceptable to a majority of the membership. In light of the unique difficulty posed by alternative fuels when used interchangeably with other fuels with differing tax rates, the Board feels strongly that the effort to identify more suitable wording must involve the membership directly. Therefore, the Board has decided to defer on any possible changes to the ballot at this time, in order to have the opportunity first to engage membership at the ABM, at which time the options that are being explored by the Alternative Fuels Committee will also be discussed. Based on the feedback at the ABM, the Board will determine whether to either withdraw the ballot, or proceed with changes.